

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/618,203	SAINT-HILAIRE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIEL F. HAJNIK	2628	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Final

(1) DANIEL F. HAJNIK.

(3) _____.

(2) Robert O' Rourke.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2010

Time: 5PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103(a) and 35 USC 101

Claims discussed:

35-61

Prior art documents discussed:

Ludvig and Beranek

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Amendments were proposed by way of fax to put the case in condition for allowance. Voicemails were left 9/15/2010; 9/17/2010 and 9/21/2010; No response has been received as of the writing of this office action on 9/23/2010.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)